



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 09/894,642 | 06/27/2001 | Kenneth H. Abbott | 890057-420C4 | 1958 |
| 27195 7590 02/19/2008 AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | EXAMINER CLOUD, JOIYA M | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2144 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE 02/19/2008 | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com
osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/894,642

Applicant(s)

ABBOTT ET AL.

Examiner

Joiya M. Cloud

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joiya M. Cloud.

(3) Jack Bradley and Patrick Evans.

(2) William Vaughn SPE.

(4) Himanshu Amin Reg No. 40984 .

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: see attached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____


Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 66 in regards to limitations drawn towards a "intermediary module" and the "modeling" feature. Examiner will take into consideration the proposed distinguishments against the prior art made by Applicant's representative and will respond to Applicant's remarks accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

LAW OFFICES

AMIN, TUROCY, AND CALVIN LLP

24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER

1900 EAST NINTH STREET

CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114

TELEPHONE: 216-696-8730

FACSIMILE: 216-696-8731

EMAIL: HAMIN@THEPATENTATTORNEYS.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS LISTED BELOW VIA UNITED STATES MAIL. THANK YOU.

Date: February 11, 2008
TO: Joiya M Cloud – United States Patent and Trademark Office
FAX NO.: 571-270-2146
FROM: Himanshu Amin

In re patent application of:

Applicant(s): Kenneth H. Abbott, et al.

Serial No: 09/894,642

Filing Date: June 27, 2001

Examiner: Joiya M Cloud

Art Unit: 2144

Title: MANAGING INTERACTIONS BETWEEN COMPUTER USERS' CONTEXT MODELS

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 3

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

Dear Examiner Cloud:

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss this matter. The following agenda is provided to aide our discussion.

- I. Introduction
- II. Discussion of Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(2)
 - a. Discuss independent claims 66, 83, 116, 119, 121, 122, 139, 140, 141, 150, and 161
 - b. Discuss differences of the cited reference *vis a vis* the subject claims.

Attached, please find proposed remarks with respect to the above-referenced matter.

Thanks again for your time and consideration.

Best regards,

-Himanshu

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

REMARKS

Carroll, *et al* (Carroll). relates to a wearable device that obtains contextual information, commonly concerning a device wearer. The device wearer can communicate with the device through a number of different units, such as an earbud and microphone (*See* Carroll, Abstract.) Sensors can be used to obtain contextual information and the contextual information can be presented through a user display (*See* Carroll, col. 5, l 40-54.) Various embodiments for Carroll are disclosed, such as specific configurations that are to be used in implementation of the microphone (*See* Carroll, col. 6, l 38-54.)

On the other hand, in accordance with the applicant's claimed subject matter, various state attribute values are generated and transferred to an intermediary location, where various processing occurs upon transferred state attribute values. In addition, modeling occurs of other state attribute values based on transferred state attribute values. Moreover, determinations can be made that relate to what units would likely have an interest in generated values and functionality can be provided to units that are likely to have an interest.